

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 4528 RAIMO BAKIS YO999-046(87 04/02/1999 09/286,099 06/06/2002 7590 EXAMINER FRANK CHAU ESQ F CHAU & ASSOCIATES LLP ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A 1900 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE SUITE 501 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT EAST MEADOW, NY 11554 2654

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. applicant(s) 09/286,099 **BAKIS ET AL** Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit Angela A. Armstrong 2654 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🔯 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) I they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. \square The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: _____ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other: __ Alchemend Dorvil Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant indicates in the request for reconsideration that claims 1 and 9 recite "generating a synthetic waveform for each of the N text sequences" and claim 15 recites "a waveform generator for generating a synthetic waveform for each of the N-text sequences". However, at page 3 of applicant's response to the First Office Action, applicant agues that Hab -Umbach et al does not disclose or suggest a method for rescoring that comprises "generating a synthetic waveform for each of the N-best text sequences (using TTS)" or "generating synthetic waveforms for each of the N-best decoded sequences."

The Examiner contends that the specific features that applicant argues as not being taught by the prior art ("generating a synthetic waveform for each of the N-best text sequences (using TTS)" or "generating synthetic waveforms for each of the N-best decoded sequences"), is not the specific language of any of claims 1, 9 or 15.

Applicant argues that the preamble should be given patentable weight because the body of the claim depends on the preamble for completeness. The Examiner disagrees an argues that the preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble fo completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. In this instance, the preamble of claim 1 indicates that the program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps for rescoring N-best hypotheses, clearly indicates the intended use of the program storage device. Similarly, the preamble of claim 9 indicates a method for rescoring N-best hypotheses, clearly indicates the purpose of the method process.

CSO UPDATE (TC-2600)

Volume 1, Issue 1 Gen. Edition May 2002

THE CSO IS GOOD 2 GO!

What is our CSO all about?

Objective: To expeditiously handle the full scope of functions and duties related thereof.

Goal: To successfully provide responses and services within a one-day turnaround, resulting in total satisfaction in internal/external customer relations

Function	Quantity Received	Current Turn- around
Faxed Applicant Papers	2,369	2.6 days
Direct Inquiries Phone/In-Person	906	0.8 days
All Other Inquiries *	2,133	15.3 days
Unresolved Inquiries *	11	

*Other and *Unresolved* Inquiries are related to a mixture of incoming status letters, e-mails, hand-carries, and other case-tracking and locating of applications (including missing file criteria). The above table is compiled data from Jan. 14, 2002 to April 2002.

Responsibilities: To (upon receipt of your faxed responses/documents for related patent applications) immediately enter your paper and forward them to the Examiner or proper location for further action in addition to responding to your calls, e-mails, etc. within a one-business day turnaround

At any time you experience an undesirable response or inappropriate delays when re

questing or service via this CSO in Tech-Center 2600, please contact the Customer Service Concern line at 703-305-1202.

When it comes to the run-around, the buck stops here. Even if we don't have your answer, we'll either get if for you and call you back or point you in the right direction. Remember if you aren't satisfied or if you're pleased with the services of Tech-Center 2600, call, fax or e-mail: arthur.mccloud@uspto.gov

CUSTOMER ADVISORY

Faxing Amendments/Responses is the way to go. In view of the ongoing mail problems due to the irradiation of all incoming mail, please fax all amendments or responses when possible. Your faxed documents are immediately received by the Tech-Center for immediate entry as opposed to being handled by several mail distribution areas before reaching the TC. Note that all incoming mail

documents go through a radiation process to destroy micro-organisms which sometimes causes wrinkled, cracked, or damaged documents that require at least photocopying.

Therefore, get a jump start—faxing is the way to go!

Customer Service Operations

Yvonne Brown, Technical Info. Spec.	
April Cheeves, Information Spec.	
Theresa Lindsay, Information Spec.	
Arthur McCloud, Chief CSO	
Sharone Moore, Technical Info. Spec.	
Tina Myers, Asst. Office Manager	
lke Sarvis, Office Manager	
····	

